

Week 10: Tactics

Dr Christopher Pokarier

| EB202 Introduction to Business



Challenges for businesses

- Getting customers to commit
- Avoiding hold-up (by staff, suppliers etc)
- Avoiding lock-in (& inflicting it upon customers & staff!)
- Discouraging competition (legally)
- Getting a fair deal in business partnerships
- Basic problems of **credible commitments** & **credible threats**

A person is standing in front of a building at night. The building has several windows, some of which are dark. The person is wearing dark clothing and is looking towards the right. The scene is dimly lit, with some light coming from the building's interior or streetlights.

The problem of trust

There is often too little or, sometimes, too much of it





APPLE SHOP

Getting customers to commit

- Customers fear being ripped off
- Hence hesitancy to commit prior to checking market conditions (often the first store visited misses out on the sale, despite being competitive on price & quality)
- Customers wary of 'one shot' iterations (unlike 'repeat games') where the vendor has an incentive to cheat
- Savvy customers should be alert to **lock-in** by suppliers (and may seek to escape it at the '**minimum lock-in point**' – eg. as specialized equipment is aging and needs replacing)



Circumstances create distrust

Your good character will be doubted: but try to manage perceptions & signal trustworthiness



Lock-in

Examples:

- Printers & cartridges, Sony & their 'Memory Sticks'
- Specialist infrastructure & software (requiring technical support, upgrades)
- E-mail address tied to ISP; phone number tied to network provider

Pricing implications: when lock-in is strong, sell the initial product cheaply to get customer commitment and then 'bleed them dry'

Switching costs

- **Total switching costs** are those borne by the customer to switch supplier plus the new provider's cost of taking on the new customer (the latter is usually modest)
- Customer's switching costs include search costs (for new supplier), adjustment costs (psychological, learning costs – new systems etc), exit costs (eg. from an existing contract), opportunity costs re engaging in the switch (eg. time thinking about it!)
- Many services firms also benefit from customers' switching costs (eg. banks, health clubs, universities!)
- The value of an existing customer base is a function of total switching costs + the firm's competitive advantage

Avoiding lock-in

- Avoid becoming dependent on 'proprietary' systems or standards (that is, owned by one provider)
- Look for inter-operability, or presence of 'independent service organizations' (ISOs)
- If feasible, engage in dual sourcing or multi-sourcing (some firms & defense departments effectively overpay to keep specialist suppliers in business in order to avoid over-dependence on limited suppliers)
- Be wary of 'one stop shops', 'total solutions providers', 'requirements controls' that compel exclusive use of one supplier, loyalty programs
- Think beyond contract period: a long product life may still lock you in

Dilemmas

- While the lock-in risk is often evident, significant efficiencies can often be gained from using one supplier alone
- Eg. fleet efficiencies: common aircraft reduce servicing & maintenance costs, make training and staff rotation easier etc
- The risk of hold-up in the aviation business reduced by the intense competition between Airbus Industrie & Boeing & its openness
- Regulators (eg. anti-trust, fair trade or competition commissioners etc) may help sometimes when you are being ruthlessly locked-in.
- Businesses should anticipate that clients will fear lock-in..



Credible commitment + threat

Game theory and psychology provide deep insights into tactical interactions



Credible threats?

- Understand when another party that is making a threat is unlikely to carry it because it is not in their interests to do so.
- Eg. blackmail cases, deadlines or threats that the other party doesn't want to enforce
- Being unforgiving can be costly: cancelling an order because the supplier is late leaves the customer without the needed product
- Short-term forgiveness therefore leads to long-term declines in performance & higher costs (but allows selfish people to get away with a lot of irresponsible behaviour)

Game theory: being credible

- Eliminate options for backing down ('burn your boats, bridges') or backing out of a deal (eg. bond-posting)
- Lock yourself in to give credibility (eg. public announcements)
- Hand over control to others (sometimes a means of 'binding yourself' – such as a 'trip wire' where 3rd party approval is needed; or a means to claim a lack of freedom to make concessions)
- Sequenced threats (frighten many with one threat): better than random threats
- Looking a bit insane can enhance the credibility of your threat!
(gangsters)

Discouraging new competitors

- Aggressive discounting in advance of the entry of a new rival into your market often does not work
- Price is too easily changed to be a credible threat
- Aggressive investment in new productive capacity (which may also reduce marginal cost through scale economies) is more credible.
- Pre-emptive locking-in of key clients with long contracts at discount prices just might work (but clients will be wary & regulators often a problem)



Signaling mutual commitment

What else explains the matching orange shirts? (they aren't Dutch football supporters)

